

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1   1		
2. AMENDMENT NO.:  0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE  16 Nov 05		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY Department of the Army Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers Contracting Division P.O. Box 1715 Baltimore MD 21203-1715		CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY: Contracting Division, Contracts Branch CENAB-CT-A 10 S. Howard Street. Room 7000 Baltimore, MD 21203-1715		CODE E1P0100	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.  W912DR-05-R-0050	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 25 October 2005	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is 9 December 2005 at 4:00pm.
-------------------------------------	---

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:  
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)	
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.	
	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER No. ITEM 10A
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR43.103(b)
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: changes clause FAR 52.243.1
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor \_\_\_ is not, \_\_\_ is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

**Design/Build Military Amputee Training Center, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Main Section, Washington, DC**

**FOR INFORMATION ONLY – RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS ARE ATTACHED.**

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(signature of person authorized to sign)		BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

NSN 7540-01-152-8070  
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

30-105

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)  
Prescribed by GSA  
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

Subject	Question	Response
Use of Chiller Water	<p>The RFP requires that the chilled water be supplied from the existing Bldg 2. The piping system from the existing building to the new building is very costly and from a life cycle cost standpoint may not represent good value. This work will also cause disruption in the existing building. In lieu of the chilled water it's the owner will to consider the use of a single air cooled DXZ VAV system to serve the new building?</p> <p>If this is not acceptable are there any other options which could eliminate the long runs of chilled water piping that would be acceptable to the Owner?</p>	<p>Reference: Section 00100 Proposal Submittal Requirements: Para 2.6 Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's experience, technical approach and management capabilities to successfully complete the project. Requirements stated in this Request for Proposal (RFP) are minimums, unless otherwise stated. <i>Innovative, creative or cost-saving proposals that meet or exceed these requirements are encouraged and will be rated accordingly. The offeror shall clearly identify and articulate in writing all deviations, betterments, innovative, creative or cost saving proposals by major feature of work.</i></p>
Use of Steam	<p>The RFP requires that the steam be supplied from the existing Bldg 2. The piping system from the existing building to the new building is very costly and from a life cycle cost standpoint may not represent good value. This work will also cause disruption in the existing building. In lieu of the steam to generate heating hot water, clean steam and domestic hot water for the new building, is the owner willing to consider the use of a gas fired heating equipment?</p> <p>Is natural gas available for the new building? If this is not acceptable are there any other options which would eliminate the long runs of steam piping that would be acceptable to the Owner?</p>	<p>Reference: Section 00100 Proposal Submittal Requirements: Para 2.6 Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's experience, technical approach and management capabilities to successfully complete the project. Requirements stated in this Request for Proposal (RFP) are minimums, unless otherwise stated. <i>Innovative, creative or cost-saving proposals that meet or exceed these requirements are encouraged and will be rated accordingly. The offeror shall clearly identify and articulate in writing all deviations, betterments, innovative, creative or cost saving proposals by major feature of work</i></p>

Subject	Question	Response
Steam Tie-In Location	Is steam available from a location closer to the new building than from Building 2?	No
Electric Heat	Is the use of electric heat acceptable in lieu of steam?	Reference: Section 00100 Proposal Submittal Requirements: Para 2.6 Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's experience, technical approach and management capabilities to successfully complete the project. Requirements stated in this Request for Proposal (RFP) are minimums, unless otherwise stated. <i>Innovative, creative or cost-saving proposals that meet or exceed these requirements are encouraged and will be rated accordingly. The offeror shall clearly identify and articulate in writing all deviations, betterments, innovative, creative or cost saving proposals by major feature of work.</i>
ATFP	<p>On page MATC-3 of the narrative, Section "Chapter 11" states that in accordance with Paragraphs 1-6.7.5 and 2-4.5.4 of UFC4-010-01, the structure is exempt from stand-off distance requirements to roads, parking areas adjacent buildings.</p> <p>We believe that the intent of the statement in the RFP is unclear. Please clarify by answering the following:</p> <p>a.) If the minimum standoff distance requirements are deleted since the building is a "Medical Transitional Structure" isn't it true that the blast design requirements for the building structure, the exterior walls and the</p>	<p>Yes" for parts a, b, and c. In effect, the classification of "Medical Transitional Structure" waives the standoff requirement, and thus the need for any blast design. Irrespective of the standoff, the building is to be designed per the Minimum AT Standards contained in UFC 4-010-01. Note that although glazing systems need not be analyzed for a blast, they still must meet the minimum requirements contained in the UFC.</p>

	<p>glazing systems are in fact deleted?</p> <p>b.) Is it the intent of Spec. Section 01011.5.2.3 and 01011.11.1.5 requirements for a specialist in blast resistant design be deleted?</p> <p>c.) Is it the intent that Spec. Section 01011-11.1.4 requirements for blast hardening be deleted?</p>	
Framing Systems	<p>On Page MATC-2 of the narrative, Section “Chapter 5” allows the use of timber framed structures and deletes Sections 01011 Paragraphs 5.3.2B and 5.4.1.</p> <p>Is it now the intent to accept timber, light gauge metal and steel joist framing systems?</p>	Yes
Vibration Requirements	<p>Considering that the project is now defined as a “Medical Transitional Structure” can the vibration limits in Section 5.4.4.A be deleted or relaxed?</p>	No.